In areas of youth policy, program development and delivery, as in the broader community sector, the importance and value of participatory approaches in delivering improved outcomes for young people, either as individuals or as populations, is now widely recognised. A huge body of evidence, much of it from the health promotion and prevention fields (in the areas of resiliency, health and well-being, morbidity etc), emphasises the critical nature of participation to individual and societal health.

In addition, there are organisational studies that emphasise the value of ‘client participation’ in effective decision-making. Better decisions are made if young people are actively involved in those decisions – and there is greater likelihood of successful implementation of programs if participants have an active role in making decisions about program directions.

Other approaches are equally important in highlighting the active and meaningful participation of young people in all aspects of their lives as a democratic right and as underpinning the development of peaceful, tolerant and productive communities.

Active participation is vital within the development processes for us all – as individuals, and as a society. It is central to ideas of ‘youth development’.

Underlying these principles are larger debates about how young people’s roles are constructed by our society … and about how we want to construct them. Such roles are, in part, constructed by the way in which we (organizations, society) treat young people: when we hold them in passive roles, in which they are perceived (by themselves and others) to have no value except in terms of what they will become, then young people learn powerful messages about their on-going self worth and about their contributions to collective roles within society; when we regard them as not competent in decision-making, then young people learn lessons about incompetence that limit their broader and on-going participation.

Despite such commitments, reflective practices of youth participation often lag far behind the rhetoric. Wierenga et al (2003) has pointed out that “the upsurge of interest in youth participation is more politically driven than evidence based, although the evidence is beginning to emerge” (eg see Kirby and Bryson, 2002; Hannam, 2002; Holdsworth, 2003; Holdsworth et al, 2003). It is important to keep analysing and reflecting on practice; it is important to keep working toward contextually sensitive social frameworks for making sense of what we are doing.

A framework for participation

Nancy Phillips in the early 1990s summarised research that pointed to three central and inter-related factors in the development of strong self-concept for young people, and this has since been further endorsed by many other writers. For example, Walker and Kelly (2002) suggested that student motivation to learn depended on three key student needs:

- to feel in control of their learning (significant input to rules and procedures, establish learning goals and tasks, decide how to work);
- to feel competent (investigating and responding to issues of survival and quality of life, solving real problems, creating real products); and
- to feel connected with others (cooperative and collaborative learning, peer support, community linkages, mutual respect).

Earlier, Newmann, Wehlage and Lamborn (1992) similarly said that learners needed to have a clear purpose, be valued and be treated with respect and fairness.

However, Phillips’ diagram strongly represents the relationship and seems to be validated in practice (see Holdsworth et al, 2003).

Here the three interacting areas of ‘sense of control’ (ie decision-making), ‘sense of bonding’ (ie working collaboratively with others) and ‘sense of purpose/meaning’ (ie doing things that make a difference) underpin both individual development and also effective program approaches.
There have also been numerous attempts to develop a specific framework for categorising the degree of youth participation in organisations, projects and approaches. Best known is the work of Hart (1992, 1997) in defining a ‘Ladder of Youth Participation’.

This builds on earlier work around a ‘continuum of youth involvement’ (Westhorp, 1987; Kaplun, 1995, in turn building on the work of Arnstein, 1969) and has since been further developed and extended by de Kort (1999) and Holdsworth (2001; 2003) to form a set of indicators that can be used by and within groups.

In this latter approach, five levels of youth participation are defined (see the boxed text on the next page for more details):

1. Non-participation
2. Passive involvement
3. Influence
4. Partnership
5. Self-mobilisation

De Kort also identifies nine organisational areas and establishes criteria within each, for each level of participation. This extends Hart’s one-dimensional continuum or ladder into a more complex two-dimensional structure or grid - with each cell of the grid described by an indicator.

This framework has subsequently been used by others (eg Wierenga et al, 2003) to provide a tool for reflection within organisations, and as a framework to examine the work of programs. In this work (particularly Holdsworth, 2003) there is recognition of a third dimension: that there can be different responses to this framework from different people within a program, and this enables the useful comparisons of responses from adults and from young people, or of responses from different groups of young people (along lines such as gender, ethnicity, location, class etc). Such developments recognise that perceptions of youth participation depend on who is responding and respondents’ different experiences, and that the discussions and action resulting from the comparisons of different perceptions are as important as the characterisation of a ‘level of participation’. Holdsworth (2001; 2003) then takes this further, suggesting that it is less important to see this tool as characterising a sense of ‘absolute truth’ about the level of participation, than to use it as a starting point for discussions between participants about their different perceptions and what can be changed. This introduces a time-dependent variable, where changes in responses over time - in response to those discussions - are the most important aspect of the tool’s use.
It should be noted that such categorisation remains contentious, with some argument about whether ‘shared decision-making with adults’ or ‘self-mobilisation’ is the highest level (Broadbent et al, 2003), and about whether, in fact, such attempts simply define a structure of adult perceptions and constraints of youth participation. The Ladder of Participation by Roger Hart is often used to represent levels of children’s participation. However what it depicts are not levels of children’s participation but the varying roles adults play in relation to children’s participation. It denotes the control and influence adults have over the process of children’s participation. It also indicates adult responses to children’s participation.

Levels of Youth Participation: after de Kort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of Participation</th>
<th>Elements/indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1: Non Participation    | Adults are in full control and make no effort to change the situation. Adults define and implement policy without any youth input. Adults’ agenda takes precedence over that of young people. There is information giving, but no information sharing. Various nuances include:  
  **Manipulation:** young people may be engaged only for the benefit of the adults and may not even understand the implications  
  **Decoration:** young people may be called on just to embellish adult actions, for instance through song, dance and other entertaining activities. |
| 2: Passive Involvement  | Minimum effort is made to inform and involve young people. There is a lack of important information sharing. Young people are listened to but only superficially  
  **Tokenism:** young people may be given a voice merely to create a youth friendly image for adults. |
| 3: Influence            | Young people are consulted and involved, and taken seriously  
  Young people have a sense of influence that can encourage the development of ownership  
  Information sharing in a two way flow  
  *Sub-stages include:*  
  **Assigned but informed:** adults take the initiative to inform young people. Only after the young people decide whether to become involved.  
  **Consulted and informed:** young people are extensively consulted on projects designed and run by adults |
| 4: Partnership          | **Collaboration:** young people have increasing control over decision making  
  Adults and young people form meaningful partnerships with negotiation on and delegation of the tasks  
  Adults-initiated, shared decisions with young people: initiators such as policy makers, community workers and local residents frequently involve interest groups and age groups |
| 5: Self Mobilisation    | **Empowerment:** transfer of control over decisions and resources to young people. Young people are in full control and may choose to seek adult assistance, if necessary and desired.  
  *Nuances of this:*  
  **Youth-initiated and directed:** young people conceive, organise and direct projects themselves, with out adult assistance.  
  **Youth initiated, shared decisions with adults:** influence is shared between young people and adults as the final goal of participation.

The term ‘Ladder’ is a misnomer as it implies a sequence, whereas in reality one level may not necessarily lead to the next level; for instance, manipulation of children may not lead to children being used as decoration as a natural next step. (Reddy and Ratna, undated).

However, while noting such arguments, it is still useful to use the tools that derive from this ‘continuum’ approach. On the next page, the model developed by de Kort is adapted to provide a simple quiz-based tool. It could be copied and completed by various participants in a Student Council, a school or a specific project, and different people’s perceptions of the nature of student participation compared.

Roger Holdsworth
A Quick Quiz: Rate Your Participation

Copy this page of the quiz and give it to each person. Tell them: Think of a specific project ... or it can be your Student Council or your school as a whole ... but everyone needs to be thinking of the same thing. We'll call it a 'project' here. For each of the nine questions, choose the answer that most closely describes what happens in your project at the moment. Circle the letter.

Then look at the key on the next page. In the table write down your score for that question.

Question 1: How are students involved in analysing needs and setting objectives for the project?

a) Teachers design and carry out the project and might tell students about it as the target group
b) Students are consulted in the early stages but ignored later; teachers then design the project
c) Students are consulted and involved in carrying out the project, but the design and objectives are set by teachers
d) Students are consulted, define the objectives of the project and carry it out together with teachers
e) Students plan and carry out the project and can choose to involve teachers

Question 2: How are students involved in communication about the project - in receiving or giving information?

a) Students are not informed or consulted about the project at all
b) Information about the project is easily accessible and student-friendly (but one-way information)
c) Regular meetings are organised to consult about the project (two-way information)
d) Meaningful exchanges occur between students and teachers (collaboration)
e) Students inform each other and possibly teachers about the project

Question 3: How are students involved in the project's decision-making?

a) Students are not consulted and they are not involved in any other way either
b) Students are consulted but their views are not taken seriously by teachers
c) The views of students are listened to by teachers and regularly acted upon
d) Shared decision-making and action occurs between students and teachers, and feedback from students is sought
e) Students have power over the allocation of resources and the direction of the project, but can seek the assistance of teachers

Question 4: How are students involved in the project's administration?

a) There are no student administrators
b) Students occasionally help in the project by doing menial tasks on a voluntary basis
c) Student roles are built into the project's administrative activities ranging from book-keeping and typing to conducting research and collecting data
d) Students play an integral part in the day-to-day running of the project
e) Administration is effectively controlled by students, possibly aided by teachers

Question 5: How are students involved in the design and implementation of the project's activities?

a) All project activities are designed and run by teachers
b) Students are consulted about activities, but teachers design and run them
c) Students partly design and run some of the activities, overseen by teachers
d) Students design and run activities in cooperation with teachers
e) Students design and run all activities, possibly aided by teachers

Question 6: How are students involved in advocacy?

a) There is no involvement of students in speaking for the project or its views
b) Students are present at the project's public events, but are not involved as organisers
c) Students are encouraged to provide input on running campaigns or events
d) Students take a significant role in forming organisations or unions, or taking part in public rallies and campaigns, or contributing to policy papers and public debates
e) Students handle all advocacy issues, possibly aided by teachers

Question 7: How are students involved as service, support and education personnel?

a) Support within the project is only provided by teachers
b) Students are consulted on support, service and education-related issues
c) Students are consulted and occasionally made counsellors, supporters or educators of other students
d) Students from the target group are trained to become counsellors, supporters or educators of other students and work alongside teachers in these roles
e) Students are the only counsellors or educators available to the target group, possibly trained or assisted by teachers

Question 8: How are students involved as project employees?

a) There are no students or other young people employed in the project
b) Students are employed in jobs not related to the project's objectives

c) Some students are employed as experts in peer-related aspects of the project
d) Students are employed as experts and may be project managers
e) The project is effectively managed by students as young professionals

Question 9: How are students involved in monitoring and evaluation of the project?

a) There are no students or other young people employed in the project
b) Some students are involved in providing information for monitoring and evaluation
c) Students are involved in implementing monitoring and evaluation of the project and in assessing its outcomes
d) Students design monitoring and evaluation tools and work with teachers to implement these
e) Students initiate, design, execute and report on projects, possibly aided by teachers and other experts

(adapted from: Gerard de Kort, in Youth Participation Manual, United Nations, 1999)
For each question, give yourself a mark for your response according to this scale:

**Key:**

- a) 0 points
- b) 1 point
- c) 2 points
- d) 3 points
- e) 4 points

Enter your mark in the table below.

Add up your marks and check your total score on this table:

Also, set up a discussion between teachers and students to talk about any differences between the ways in which teachers and students - or different groups of students - see their participation. What comments do you have? What action is suggested?

### Youth Participation Indicators: Assessment sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Student score</th>
<th>Teacher score</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Proposed action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Analysing needs and setting objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Information and communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Decision-making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Design and implementation of activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Advocacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Service, support and education personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Monitoring and evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Connect has a website:

http://www.geocities.com/rogermhold/Connect

Not much there at the moment - information about subscribing, some back issue contents and a summary of Student Councils and Beyond - but it’ll grow.

Check in occasionally!
ruMAD? & Values Education

Welcome back from the school holiday break! I just got back myself this week and I must say ... sitting in front of a computer getting back to work is not one of my ideal actions in life! However, my vision of you and the students taking powerful actions that will make a difference for your communities does get me off my butt!

2006 is shaping up to be a big year in the implementation of Values Based Education throughout our schools.

One of the biggest challenges that teachers face as they look at implementing Values Based Education is: “how do I?”. Many of the suggestions I have seen don’t address that a paradigm shift is required in the teaching methodology to be effective in ensuring that particular values are being developed. It is similar to trying to teach that the earth is round (or spherical if you want to be rigorous!) whilst believing that the earth is flat. It doesn’t translate very well.

That’s where the ruMAD? Program is different to most other programs. It is a framework whereby teachers can deliver the values while the students create real-life projects that enable them to learn literacy, numeracy, etc, whilst tackling activities and issues that matter to them.

MAD Day on April 6th is a nationwide day where schools from around Australia take the opportunity to implement a simple Make a Difference activity using the principles of the ruMAD? program. The kit takes the teacher and the class through a simplified version of the ruMAD? program.

If you are interested in getting a copy of the 2006 MAD Day Kit, please email me:
rumad@educationfoundation.org.au

with information about your name, school, address, e-mail and phone contact. I will then email you a MAD Day Kit which will contain all the material you will need to run a successful MAD Day in 2006.

The cost to you is ZERO. I just require feedback about what you and the students are creating for the day.

If you would like more information about the ruMAD? program, feel free to contact me at the above email address or check out:
www.educationfoundation.org.au

Adrian Bertolini
ruMAD? Program Manager
Education Foundation, Melbourne
(03) 9665 5904

Learning choices
2006
24 - 25 MAY 2006
www.dsf.org.au/learningchoices
PRESENTED BY THE DUSSELDORP SKILLS FORUM

Applications are now OPEN for the Learning Choices Expo 2006 to be held in Maroochydore, Queensland

This event will bring together 500 teachers, principals, coordinators, youth workers, policy folk and researchers from across the country who are passionate about diverse ways to engage young people in meaningful learning - particularly those young people whose needs are not able to be met in a traditional classroom setting.

The Learning Choices Expo will feature an impressive range of experts in delivering workshops, facilitating ‘fierce’ conversations and offering professional development opportunities across three themes ‘Practice and Programs’, ‘Research and Policy’ and ‘Indigenous’ education.

PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS INCLUDE:
• Keynote presentation from Laudan Aron of the Urban Institute, Washington D.C.;
• Keynote presentation from Chris Sarra, former Principal at Cherbourg State School and 2004 Queenslander of the Year;
• A showcase of 20 student teams from some of the most innovative and exciting learning programs in Australia.

Visit:
www.dsf.org.au/learningchoices
or call Mikaeli at the Dusseldorp Skills Forum on 02 9571 8347.

Student Participation Support Materials
NOW AVAILABLE THROUGH CONNECT
See the back page of this issue of Connect for listings and order form
Form One Lane is a professional development seminar offered to teachers and students working with a Student Representative Council (SRC).

These seminars have been run for over six years in several states of Australia – more than 30 different seminars for primary and secondary schools. In semester 1, 2006, Form One Lane will be hosted at least once in every State and Territory in Australia. See below for a list of dates and locations. If the seminar closest to you is in a different state from that of your school, you are welcome to attend that one instead.

On evaluation forms participants have written:

"I was on the verge of quitting my role as SRC coordinator, but now I feel better able to cope and am excited about next year."

"I wish I'd brought my students with me! This was fantastic. I expected a really dull PD seminar and was pleasantly surprised. I'll definitely be attending again."

The program of Form One Lane has been carefully developed over these last six years and reviewed after each event. The program contains exciting presenters, including recent ex-student members of an SRC. Your participation is a key part of the program as facilitators will be drawing on your experience and ideas; the best resource we have is each other. With current SRC students as part of the program, all the material can be instantly tested against the only true authority: the people who are actually supposed to be running the student council.

The cost of the event is $85 per head for students and teachers alike as we are all professionals working towards the same goal. A light morning tea and lunch will be served along with tea and coffee. A range of in-depth workshops will be offered as part of the program. For more details please contact Second Strike.

Members of the Institute of SRC Teacher Advisers for their state or of the VicSRC receive a discount for every participant they bring. Members are entitled to a 20% discount ie $85 pp reduced to $68 pp.

For more information please contact David or Teigan: 03 9855 8900 or info@second-strike.com

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21/02</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>Kensington Centre, Kensington</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>Kensington Centre, Kensington</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/03</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Y Hotel, City</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/03</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Y Hotel, City</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/03</td>
<td>Canberra</td>
<td>Rydges EagleHawk, Nth Canberra</td>
<td>Primary &amp; Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/03</td>
<td>Cairns</td>
<td>Cairns City Library, Cairns</td>
<td>Primary &amp; Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/03</td>
<td>Brisbane</td>
<td>Riverside Hotel, 5th Brisbane</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/03</td>
<td>Brisbane</td>
<td>Riverside Hotel, 5th Brisbane</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/03</td>
<td>Darwin</td>
<td>Darwin Exhibition Centre, City</td>
<td>Primary &amp; Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/03</td>
<td>Hobart</td>
<td>Hobart Function Centre, City</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03</td>
<td>Hobart</td>
<td>Hobart Function Centre, City</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/04</td>
<td>Shepparton</td>
<td>Sundowner Parklake, Shepparton</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/04</td>
<td>Shepparton</td>
<td>Sundowner Parklake, Shepparton</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05</td>
<td>Knox</td>
<td>Knox City Arts Centre, Bayswater</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/05</td>
<td>Knox</td>
<td>Knox City Arts Centre, Bayswater</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/05</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>Enterprise House, Unley</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/05</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>Enterprise House, Unley</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/05</td>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>Home Base Expo, Subiaco</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/05</td>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>Home Base Expo, Subiaco</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/06</td>
<td>Geelong</td>
<td>Newcomb Library, Newcomb</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06</td>
<td>Geelong</td>
<td>Newcomb Library, Newcomb</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resource Kits for Student Representative Councils

Seconnd Strike, based in Victoria, has produced a set of resource or training kits for Student Representative Councils (SRCs). There are currently eight titles in the series:

- Public Speaking;
- Audit the SRC;
- Elections;
- Constitutions;
- The Magic Bag of Limitless Ideas;
- Representation;
- Meeting Procedure; and
- Fundraising.

Copies of each of the kits cost $12.50 and can be ordered from:

Second Strike
22 Menzie Grove, Ivanhoe Vic 3079
Phone: (03) 9855 8900; Mob: 0412 743 951
Web: www.second-strike.com
e-mail: info@second-strike.com
Local and Overseas Publications Received

Connect receives many publications directly or indirectly relevant to youth and student participation. We can’t lend or sell these, but if you want to look at or use them, contact us on: (03) 9489 9052 or (03) 8344 9637

Australian:

Snapshots from the Edge: Young people and service providers on the urban fringe of Melbourne (Youth Affairs Council of Victoria, Melbourne, Vic) 2004

Teacher Learning Network Journal (TLN, Abbotsford, Vic) Vol 13 No 1, Summer 2006

Yikes! (Youth Affairs Council of Victoria, Melbourne, Vic) Vol 4 Edition 5, December 2005

Youth Studies Australia (Australian Clearinghouse for Youth Studies, Hobart, Tas) Vol 24 No 4; December 2005

International:

Agree to disagree: Citizenship and controversial issues (Julia Fiehn; Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) 2005

Breaking down the barriers: success in widening participation - a toolkit approach (Jan Champney, Margaret Davey and Sandra Lawrence; Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) 2005

Briefing (Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) November 2005

Citizenship News (Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) Issue 13; October 2005

Education Revolution (AERO, New York, USA) Issue 43, Winter 2006

Establishing a Network of Schools (Networked Learning Communities, Cranfield, UK) 2005

Get up, stand up: Citizenship through Music (Kenneth During and James Barber; Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) 2005

More than words: Citizenship through Art (Sharon Walters; Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) 2005

Network Leadership Roles (Networked Learning Communities, Cranfield, UK) 2005

Network Snapshots (Networked Learning Communities, Cranfield, UK): DVD, 2005

Staff development for post-16 citizenship: Guidance and materials for citizenship coordinators and staff developers (Lin Norman and Alan Wilkins; Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) 2005

The Big Picture: Education is Everyone’s Business (Dennis Littky with Samantha Grabelle; Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, USA) 2005

The Real Picture: Citizenship through Photography (Chris Rowe and Martin Bou Mansour; Learning and Skills Development Agency, London, UK) 2004

Documents

The documents listed in this column may be of general background interest. A photocopy is available for research purposes. The length and cost (to cover copying and postage) is listed. Please order by code number.

A full, computerised index of these documents is now available from Connect for $3; this can be accessed and printed by topic, key-word etc or simply sequentially.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description/Pages/Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>Tough Talk About Student Responsibility: Growing Student Leaders in Oakland, California (What Kids Can Do, October 2004) (4 pp; $0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572</td>
<td>Student Voices Count: A Student-led Evaluation of High Schools in Oakland (Oakland Kids First/Real Hard, May 2003) (9 pp; $1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573</td>
<td>Student Dilemmas - responses to systemic policy change at the grassroots (Karin Oerlemans and Lesley Vidovich, University of Western Australia; paper at NZARE-AARE Conference, Auckland, NZ; December 2003) (8 pp; $1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>574</td>
<td>The School Culture (SEDL) (6 pp; $1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>575</td>
<td>Meaningful Student Involvement: Research Guide (Adam Fletcher, SoundOut, 2004) (40 pp; $4.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>Unleashing Student Voice: Research Supporting Meaningful Student Involvement/Quotes on Student Voice, Involvement, Purpose, Empowerment, Belonging and Schools (Adam Fletcher, SoundOut) (4 pp; $0.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is Your Connect Subscription Up-to-date?

The number on your Connect label tells you the issue with which your subscription expires. Please renew promptly - renewal notices cost us time and money!

Friends of Connect

By subscribing at a higher rate, the following have helped keep Connect going. We gratefully acknowledge receipt of the following contributions since the last issue of Connect:

Supporting Subscribers ($50 for one year):
- Kathryn Moyle (ACT)
- Helen Butler (Vic)

Sustaining Subscribers ($100 for two years):
- Peter Weddell (ACT)

Thank you for your support!
Copy or use this form to subscribe to Connect and to order materials from Connect:

To: CONNECT, 12 Brooke Street, Northcote 3070 Victoria Australia

From: Name: ............................................................................................................................
Address: ............................................................................................................................
............................................................................. Postcode: ............................

SUBSCRIPTIONS:
I/we enclose a new/renewal subscription to CONNECT:

(circle amount) 1 year 2 years
• an individual or personal subscription * $20 $40
• a primary/secondary school student subscription * $ 5 $10
• an organisational (school, library etc) subscription $30 $60
• a student organisation (SRC, JSC etc) subscription $10 $20
• a supporting/sustaining subscription $50 $100
• a lifetime subscription: ... forever: ... $1000

Subscription Sub-total: $ ..........

MATERIALS:
Back issues of Connect ($4 single; $6 double issue). Circle issue/s required: $ ..........

• Cross-referenced index to contents of Connect back issues ($3) $ ..........

*** SPECIAL OFFER: Any calendar year of Connect back issues (6 issues) ($12) *** $ ..........

Miscellaneous Resources:
• 2005! Student Councils & Beyond ($30; $25 for Connect subscribers) $ ..........
• Democracy Starts Here! Junior School Councils at Work (1996) $ ..........
• Case studies of Primary School SRCs ($7 or $12 for two copies)
• Students and Work - 1985 Connect reprint booklet #5 ($5) $ ..........
• Youth Radio Cram Guide - 1985 ($1 - or FREE with any other order) $ ..........

Foxfire Resources:
• Sometimes a Shining Moment (Wigginton) ($25) $ ..........
• Foxfire: 25 Years (Doubleday) ($25) $ ..........
• A Foxfire Christmas (Doubleday hardcover) ($25) $ ..........

Documents:
• Photocopies of the following documents: $ ..........
• Cross-referenced Index to photocopies of documents ($3) $ ..........

(all prices include postage and packaging)

Materials Sub-total: $ ..........

TOTAL ENCLOSED: $ ........